

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,924	07/08/2003	Robert Radulescu	P10-1301	9233	
21839	7590 04/10/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BUCHANAN INGERSOLL PC			MAKI, ST	MAKI, STEVEN D	
(INCLUDING BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS) POST OFFICE BOX 1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			1733		
		DATE MAILED: 04/10/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/614,924	RADULESCU, ROBERT	RADULESCU, ROBERT		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Steven D. Maki	1733			

	Steven D. Maki	1/33					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 22 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I  (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) ☑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
(c)   They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or  (d)   They present additional claims without canceling a continuous content and the content and			tne issues for				
NOTE: <u>see advisory action attachment</u> . (See 37 C		colog dalms.	•				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		•					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	·		4				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	·						
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but for the reasons given in the last office action.	·		nce because:				
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
			*				
	•						

Application/Control Number: 10/614,924

Art Unit: 1733

#### **Advisory Action Attachment**

#### new issues

The new issues include:

- (1) in claim 1, changing "tread for steer axle tires" to --tread on steer axle tires--, changing "having a rolling direction" to --designed for a rolling direction--, and adding --the tire including means indicating the rolling direction--;
- (2) in claim 1, changing "to a depth not greater than one-third E is not more than 5°" to --to a depth of one-third E is zero degrees--;
- (3) in claims 4, 6 and 9, adding --at least one of the ribs is not provided with incisions of varying inclination-- and changing "Sne is the total of the surface areas of ribs" to --Sne is the total outer surface area of the at least one rib--;
  - (4) in claim 9, changing "areas of the ribs" to --areas of the at least one rib--;
- (5) in claim 9, changing "tread for steer axle tires" to --tread on steer axle tires--, changing "having a rolling direction" to --designed for a rolling direction--, and adding --the tire including means indicating the rolling direction--;
- (6) in claim 9, changing "a first angle of not more than 5° from the outer surface to not more than a depth of one-third E" to --a first angle of zero degrees from the outer surface to a depth of one-third E--.

### issue of new matter

The issue of new matter is, in claims 4, 6 and 9, adding --at least one of the ribs is not provided with incisions of varying inclination-- and changing "Sne is the total of the surface areas of ribs" to --Sne is the total outer surface area of the at least one rib--.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven D. Maki whose telephone number is (571) 272-1221. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (571) 272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven D. Maki April 6, 2006 STEVEN D. MAKI PRIMARY EXAMINE